General structural model – Part 2: Nonnormality

Psychology 588: Covariance structure and factor models

- $F_{\rm ML}$ is derived with an assumption that all DVs are multivariate normal
- Somewhat relaxed condition (due to Browne) which is satisfied unless the distribution is excessively kurtotic:

$$\operatorname{acov}(s_{ij}, s_{gh}) = N^{-1}(\sigma_{ig}\sigma_{jh} + \sigma_{ig}\sigma_{jh})$$

- Exogenous observed variables don't have to be multivariate normal so long as all other observed variables are so
- Violation of multinormality or the ACOV condition doesn't cost consistency of the ML and GLS --- but it does make the estimators inefficient and chi-square testing invalid (as well as individual SE estimates) (see Table 9.1, p. 416)

• The r-th moment around the mean defined as (r > 1):

$$\mu_r = E(X - \mu_1)^r, \qquad m_r = N^{-1}(X - \overline{X})^r$$

- When standardized $(\mu_3/\mu_2^{3/2}, \mu_4/\mu_2^2)$ for the third and fourth), all moments are mutually <u>uncorrelated</u> --- e.g., larger mean does not imply anything whatsoever about variance
- Multivariate normal distribution has two parameter sets --- mean-vector and covariance matrix, $N(\mu, \Sigma)$
- Any higher <u>standardized</u> moments are constants under normality --- e.g., skewness = 0 and kurtosis = 3 (excess kurtosis = 0)

skewness:
$$b_1^* = \frac{m_3}{m_2^{3/2}}$$
, kurtosis: $b_2 = \frac{m_4}{m_2^2}$

- Skewness --- degree of positive or negative tendency, deviating from normality
 - > Positively skewed --- tends more toward positive infinity
 - > Negatively skewed --- tends more toward negative infinity
- Kurtosis --- degree of tailedness, deviating from normality
 - > Leptokurtic or super-Gaussian ($b_2 > 3$) --- thicker tail, taller than normality (Fig. 9.3b A)
 - > Platykurtic or sub-Gaussian ($b_2 < 3$) --- thinner tail, shorter than normality (Fig. 9.3b C)

- Large sample based z-tests available separately for skewness $(b_1 = 0)$ or kurtosis $(b_2 = 3)$ and simultaniously for both $(b_1 = 0 \& b_2 = 3)$, for both univariate and multivariate normality (see Tables 9.2 & 9.3)
- Univariate test may be used for identifying a subset of nonnormal variables, one at a time
- Identification of cases deviating from normality (outliers)
 - Deviation from density expected under normality (Q-Q plot)
 - Maharanobis distance (a.k.a., statistical distance) --- useful for identifying extreme cases

- Univariate normality (marginal distributions) is necessary for multinormality
- Most SEM programs provide univariate and multivariate tests for nonnormality; and for outliers (e.g., Maharanobis distance)
 --- available in AMOS
- Practically, if removing a few outliers reasonably approximates multinormality (or at least, univariate and bivariate normalities), then usual statistical practice can be considered justified; otherwise, some alternative procedure is in need

- Transformation of data; e.g., taking logarithm alleviates impact of extremely large values --- results should be accordingly interpreted (e.g., effect of log income instead of income itself)
- Robust statistics for asymptotically valid statistical testing ---not so efficient with smallish samples
- Nonparametric test of overall fit (e.g., bootstrapping) --- known to be erratic sometimes with smallish samples
- Alternative estimator that doesn't require particular distributional form and, yet, is efficient, given sufficiently large data

$$\begin{split} F_{\text{WLS}} &= \left(\mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)' \mathbf{W}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right), \quad \mathbf{s} : \frac{1}{2} (p+q) (p+q+1) \times 1 \\ & \operatorname{acov} \left(s_{ij}, s_{gh}\right) = N^{-1} \left(\sigma_{ijgh} - \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{gh}\right) \\ F_{\text{GLS}} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(\left(\mathbf{S} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \right)^2 \right) \qquad \mathbf{S} : (p+q) \times (p+q) \\ & \operatorname{acov} \left(s_{ij}, s_{gh}\right) = N^{-1} \left(\sigma_{ig} \sigma_{jh} + \sigma_{ih} \sigma_{jg}\right), \text{ if normal} \\ F_{\text{ULS}} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(\mathbf{S} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)^2 \right) \end{split}$$

• Under normality, F_{WLS} reduces to F_{GLS} and F_{ULS} , respectively with W = S and I (due to Browne)

- Likewise, $F_{\rm ML}$ is also another special case of $F_{\rm WLS}$ under normality, with ${\bf W}=\hat{\Sigma}$
- WLS also known as "asymptotically/arbitrary distribution free" (ADF) estimator in that the ACOV holds without needing a particular distributional form, for which

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ijgh} = N^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(X_{it} - \overline{X}_{i} \right) \left(X_{jt} - \overline{X}_{j} \right) \left(X_{gt} - \overline{X}_{g} \right) \left(X_{ht} - \overline{X}_{h} \right)$$

- F_{ADF} uses $\mathbf{W} = \{acov(s_{ij}, s_{gh})\}_{k \times k}$, which is a square matrix of order k = (p + q)(p + q + 1)/2, that needs to be inverted during optimization
- See, e.g., Table 9.4, p. 428

 WLS should not be confused with the WLS for an adjustment for heterogeneous error variances in multiple regression analysis:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e},$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{WLS}} = \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{y},$$
$$\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}\left(s_1^2, \dots, s_N^2\right)$$

- Pros:
 - No distributional form required
 - > Efficient estimates (minimum SE)
 - Correct chi-square testing
- Cons:
 - Computationally expensive --- large (and full) W needs to be iteratively inverted
 - Usual recommendation for minimum sample size: 400~500; properties with small samples unknown
 - Hard to know which performs better (WLS vs. ML or GLS) given not so large sample and/or significant, yet not excessive, nonnormality

• Elliptical distribution has 0 skewness but can be kurtotic by the same degree for <u>all variables</u>:

$$K = \frac{m_{iiii}}{3m_{ii}^2} - 1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, (p+q)$$

- If the common-kurtosis condition is met, estimates by $F_{\rm E}$ are efficient and results in correct statistical testing --- within this condition, $F_{\rm ML}$ and $F_{\rm GLS}$ are special cases of K = 0
- To use $F_{\rm E}$ needs an estimate of K (see Eq. 9.93) --- Mardia's multivariate b_2 or average of univariate b_2 's may be used
- Computationally less demanding than WLS